



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/905,440	07/13/2001	Naoki Watanabe	36992.00081	5821	
7590 11/28/2005			EXAMINER		
ARNOLD M. DE GUZMAN			TRAN, NGHI V		
SQUIRE, SANI	DERS & DEMPSEY LLP	•			
600 Hansen Wa	y	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Palo Alto, CA 94304			2151		
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/200	DATE MAILED: 11/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		App	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		09/	905,440	WATANABE ET	AL.			
Office Action Summary			miner	Art Unit				
			i V. Tran	2151				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE ( c of 37 CFR 1.136(a). It nunication. atutory period will apply will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUN n no event, however, may y and will expire SIX (6) M the application to become	VICATION.  a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 13 Octobe	r 2005.					
	· ·	2b)⊠ This actio						
, —								
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-9 and 20 is/are pending i	in the application	٦.		•			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 20</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or elec	tion requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is	required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examin	er. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	for foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents hav	e been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents hav	e been received in	Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•		en received in this Nationa	l Stage			
	application from the Internation	•						
* S	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the	e certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (r nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	•		f Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/905,440 Page 2

Art Unit: 2151

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 13, 2005 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 8-9, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wahl et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,324,654 (hereinafter Wahl), in view of Weber, U.S. Patent No. 6,424,993 (hereinafter Weber).

4. With respect to claims 1, 9, and 20, Wahl teaches a method of performing an initial copy procedure in a remote copy system [see abstract and figs.1&5], the method comprising:

- configuring a network path between a first disk subsystem [i.e. primary system] and a second disk subsystem [i.e. secondary system] to increase the speed of data transmission [i.e. allow network bandwidth to be added to a network connection] across the network path [col.25, Ins.1-10; and fig.1];
- after the configuring the network path, configuring the remote copy system for a remote copy operation [figure 1; and see abstract];
- after the configuring the remote copy system, performing an initial remote copy operation to copy data across the network path from the first disk subsystem to the second disk subsystem [column 4, lines 14-33]; and

However, Yanai does not explicitly show adjusting the network path to reduce the speed of data transmission across the network path, thereby reducing the speed of at least one subsequent remote copy operation between the first disk system and the second disk system.

In a related art, Weber suggests or discloses adjusting the network path to reduce the speed of data transmission across the network path, thereby reducing the speed of at least one subsequent remote copy operation between the first disk system and the second disk system [col.1, In.25 -38].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Wahl in view of Weber by adjusting the

Application/Control Number: 09/905,440 Page 4

Art Unit: 2151

network path to reduce the speed of the data transmission across the network path because this feature can reduce operations costs [Weber, col.1, In.33]. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to modify in order to reduce bandwidth costs [Weber, col.1, In.37].

- 5. With respect to claim 2, Wahl further teaches the first disk subsystem is located in a master site [figure 1].
- 6. With respect to claim 3, Wahl further teaches the first disk subsystem is located in a manufacturer site [figure 1].
- 7. With respect to claim 4, Wahl further teaches deploying the second disk subsystem to a remote site [figure 1].
- 8. With respect to claim 5, Wahl further teaches the configuring the remote copy system comprises: selecting multiple physical paths in the network path to transmit data across the path [column 24, line 44 through column 24, line 10].
- 9. With respect to claim 6, Wahl further teaches the configuring the remote copy system comprises: increasing a data transfer rate characteristic of the network path [column 3, lines 39-44].

- 10. With respect to claim 8, Wahl further teaches decreasing the data transfer rate [col.16, lns.17-63 i.e. slow down data transfer].
- 11. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over both Wahl and Weber, and further in view of Gallant et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0067727 (hereinafter Gallant).
- 12. With respect to claim 7, Wahl does not explicitly show reducing the number of physical paths.

In a related art, Gallant discloses adjusting the network path comprises: reducing the number of physical paths [i.e. SVC] in the network path for transmitting data [paragraphs 0010-0013].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify both Wahl and Weber, and further in view of Gallant by reducing the number of physical paths because this feature may save capital costs [Gallant, paragraph 0013]. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to modify in order to save capital costs by reducing the amount of bandwidth needed [Gallant, paragraph 0013].

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 and 20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2151

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nghi V. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-4067. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on (571) 272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nghi V Tran Patent Examiner Art Unit 2151

NT

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER